# Multilevel Modelling of Modern Contraceptive Use among Rural and Urban Population of Ethiopia

Tilahun Ferede<sup>1,\*</sup>, Girma Taye<sup>2</sup>, Yohannes Yebabe<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Statistics, ArbaMinch University, Arba Minch, 21, Ethiopia <sup>2</sup>Director of Biometrics, Ethiopian Agricultural Research Institute, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia <sup>3</sup>Department of Statistics, Hawassa University, Awassa, 05, Ethiopia

**Abstract** Ethiopia is the second-largest country in Africa, with an estimated population of nearly 79 million and a growth rate of 2.6 percent per year. It is believed that Fertility decline continues if wider us e of contraception is adopted. The main objective of this study is modelling determinants of modern contraceptive and to investigate how different covariates measured at different levels of a hierarchical structure affect modern contraceptives. The EDHS data have a two-level hierarchical structure, with 16,700 women nested within eleven geographical regions. Traditional regression analysis and multilevel models were employed to predict the outcomes. The study revealed that Place of residence, educational attain ment, age group, religious beliefs, heard of family planning messages with radio, visited health facility, and desire for more children were found to be the most important determinants. It was found that the random slope multilevel model best fits the data and provides additional information. First, the variances of the random components related to the random term were found to be statistically significant implying that differences in use of modern contraceptives across regions. Secondly, from explanatory variables considered the effect of the random parts differs across regions. The final model, "Random Slope Multilevel Logistic Regression" suggests that there exist considerable differences in modern contraceptive use across regions. The study suggests that researchers who want to conduct contraceptives studies using EDHS data set should use multilevel models than traditional regression methods. In addition, further studies should be conducted to investigate spatial variations of contraceptives use in the country by utilizing other models such as Spatial and Geoadditive models.

**Keywords** High Fertility, Modern Contraceptives, Heterogeneity, Regional Variations, EDHS, Multilevel Logistic Regression Models, Ethiopia

# 1. Introduction

Ethiopia is the second-largest country in Africa, with an estimated population of nearly 79 million and a growth rate of 2.6 percent per year. Ethiopia is a predominantly rural and young society, with 84 percent living mainly in densely populated highland settlements. While the urban population is growing at around 4 percent per year, the rural population is still growing at around 2.3 percent. The proportion of the population under age 15 is 45percent, with only 3.2 percent above age 65 as in[1].

The Government of Ethiopia (GOE) is giving greater attention to demographic actors in formulating multisectoral development strategies, and has recognized population growth as one of the main challenges to poverty reduction. Intensified efforts are being made to implement the 2005-2010 Plan for Accelerated and Sustained Development

\* Corresponding author:

feredetilahun14@gmail.com (Tilahun Ferede)

Published online at http://journal.sapub.org/ajms

to End Poverty/PASDEP, including reducing the total fertility rate (TFR) to 4 lifetime births per woman by 2010 and closing the gap between boys' and girls' education. These efforts are driven in part by concerns over food insecurity, population pressure on the land, low incomes in rural areas, and youth unemployment in urban areas as in[2].

Contraceptive use is seen as pivotal to protecting women's health and rights, impacting upon fertility and population growth, and promoting economic development particularly in much of sub-Saharan Africa. Globally, contraceptives help prevent an estimated 2.7 million infant deaths and the loss of 60 million years of healthy life as in[3]. Over the past 30 years the use of family planning methods has increased dramatically in the developing world, leading to a fall in fertility rates. Yet there are still significant levels of demand for family planning that are unmet. If this unmet need were met, unintended pregnancies would be fewer, women's health and lives would be improved, and the consequent impact on fertility would result in lower population growth and measured development benefits as in[4].

With the expansion of the family planning programme and the continuing wide gap between the set target and actual

Copyright © 2012 Scientific & Academic Publishing. All Rights Reserved

achievement of the family planning programme, there has been considerable interest in determining what factors influence women's decision to use contraception. Several research studies in Ethiopia have revealed a number of relevant socio-economic, demographic and cultural factors. However, most studies used bivariate analysis to determine the relationship of two variables rather than multiple variables, and most of them done were based on restricted samples, limited data, or inadequate methodology. Thus the previous studies on modern contraceptive use may not be representatives for the whole country; hence it is important to consider representative survey data which might represent the whole country.

Studies showed that satisfying women's need for family planning reduces the total fertility rate (TFR) by a considerable amount as in[5];[6] and[7]. In Ethiopia, meeting the demand for family planning is likely to lead to achieving one of the fifth Millennium Development Goal (MDG) as an indicator for tracking progress on improving maternal health national population policy objectives, i.e., reducing the total fertility rate (TFR) to 4.0 children per woman in 2015. Moreover, meeting unmet need for family planning would avert unwanted or mistimed pregnancies and thereby reduce unsafe abortion as in[8] and[9]. It is also likely to prevent high-risk births as in[10] and[11].

Ethiopia is one of the developing countries where population issue has become a major area of concern during the last few decades. The country began family planning services through Family Guidance Association of Ethiopia, established in 1966. However, the fertility regulation efforts made so far in Ethiopia through Family Guidance Association and other organizations are minimal as in[12]. Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey of 2005 report revealed that knowledge of contraception has remained consistently high in Ethiopia over the past five years with 88% of currently married women having heard of at least one method of contraception. However, actual contraceptive practice among women of reproductive age group remained very low as in[13]. This high knowledge with low utilization rate is mainly because of different barriers to decision on use of contraceptives.

In 1993, an explicit National Population Policy was adopted by the government of Ethiopia with an objective of harmonizing the country's population growth rate with that of the economy to improve the well-being of Ethiopia's people as in[14]. However, the country's total fertility rate (TFR) from 1970 to 1975 was 6.8, and this number did not change between 1995 and 2000 as in[15]. The TFR has remained well above the mean ideal number of children of 4.8 as in[16]. With low use of family planning, fertility has remained high in the last decades. According to the first national survey on fertility and family planning in 1990, only 29 percent of currently married women were using FP methods, of which fewer than 27 percent were using modern methods (CSA, 2011). The contraceptive prevalence rate (CPR) though remains low, it has doubled in the last decade and by 2000 it was estimated at 8 percent using all methods and 6 percent using modern methods as in[17].

There have not been many studies conducted on modeling of determinants of modern contraceptive use in Ethiopia. The few studies done were based on restricted samples, limited data, or inadequate methodology. Thus the previous studies on modern contraceptive use may not be representatives for the whole country, Despite its importance, very few population-based studies have been carried out in Ethiopia regarding determinants of Contraceptive use in Ethiopia as in[18]; [9]; [19] and [20]. Most Contraceptive studies in the country have been institution-based as in[18]. Most of the population-based studies were small-scale research, focusing on a handful of communities, usually small-sized rural communities as in[9];[20] and [19]. Some studies have considered the multilevel models for instance as in[21] examined the hierarchical effects on family planning and its determinants in Ethiopia using a multilevel model. The results showed that in nonparametric approach based on the chi-square test ( $\chi^2 = 4455.702$ , d.f=10, P<0.01)) and the parametric approach based on the empty model suggests that couples status of FP practice differs among regions. Hence, there might be heterogeneity among regions with respect to FP practice of couples. it is important to examine the factors that may explain this regional variation. Besides being able to identify variation at different levels of the hierarchy, multilevel models also enable the investigation of effects of variables measured at different hierarchical levels.

# 2. Statement of the Problem

It is believed that fertility decline continues if the wider use of contraception continues in all levels and groups of peoples. It is critical for family planning workers to continue to meet the needs of existing contraceptive users, and also to address barriers for contraceptive users in the society since, individual tastes, interests, behaviors, etc. differ from one unit to another within each level, owing to variability among various socioeconomic and geographical factors such as religion, culture, income, place of residence, education, occupation, mass media access, administrative and social facilities, and so on. That is why their efforts and approaches do not seem to be equally effective, evenly served or acknowledged in the some areas. This is an indicator for the effectiveness of the program to vary considerably.

Most Contraceptive studies in the country have been institution-based and also are small-scale research, focusing on a handful of communities, usually small-sized rural communities. Their geographic scope limits the applicability of their result on a large scale, particularly considering the complex multi-regional and multi-ethnic setting of Ethiopia. In addition, most do not control for important confounding variables, drawing from a nationally representative survey.

It is necessary to assess the within-group and between-group level variation, and to estimate the true effect of the above-mentioned factors on multilevel determinants of Contraceptive use in order to implement more effective future family planning policies that target particular units at various levels of the hierarchy and there might be a correlations between the higher levels. An important feature of this correlation is that there might be regional and cluster variations in contraceptive use. The extent of acceptance of contraceptive methods varies within societies and also among different clusters and religious groups. The factors responsible for the variation are at different hierarchy (individual, family (cluster), and community (regional) levels), embedded in socio-economic, demographic and cultural Society of Ethiopia. Therefore, this study has been motivated to address the identified research gaps by answering the following research questions:

i . What are the key factors that affect use of modern contraceptive methods at each level within each region?

ii. To what extent does contact with family planning workers and visiting health centers affect modern contraceptive?

iii. How much of the variation of usage is accounted for regional level and women level?

iv. How important is this factor in relation to other socio-economic, demographic and cultural factors?

# 3. Objective of the Study

The main purpose of this study is to model determinants of modern contraceptive use among rural and urban population of Ethiopia using multilevel modelling approach. The Specific objectives are:-

1. To identify socioeconomic, demographic and cultural determinant associated with use of modern contraceptives.

2. To investigate how covariates (Socio-economic, demographic and proximate variables) measured at different levels of a hierarchical structure affect modern contraceptive use in of Ethiopia.

3. To compare variations due to the random effects (religion, place of residence and effect of radio messages) at women and regional levels.

4. To determine within and between regional differences in Contraceptive use by accounting for regional variation.

5. To compare results from traditional (single level) and multilevel approach in identifying determinants of contraceptive use.

6. To provide relevant recommendations for policy makers and suggest directions for future studies.

## 4. Data and Methodology

### 4.1. Data Source

This study used the 2011 Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey as in[22]. The 2011 EDHS was conducted under the aegis of the ministry of health and was implemented by the Central Statistical Agency and partner organizations from September 2010 through June 2011 with a nationally representative sample of nearly 18,500 households. All women age 15-49 and all men age 15-59 in these households were eligible for individual interview.

The sample for the 2011 EDHS was designed to provide population and health indicators at the national and regional levels. The sample design allowed for specific indicators, such as contraceptive use, to be calculated for each of Ethiopia's eleven geographic/administrative regions: nine regional states (Tigray, Affar, Amhara, Oromia, Somali, Benishangul-Gumuz, SNNPR, Gambela and Harari) and two city administrations (Addis Ababa and Dire Dawa). The sampling frame used for the 2011 EDHS was the Population and Housing Census conducted by the Central Statistical Agency (CSA) in 2007. The 2011 EDHS sample was selected using a stratified, two-stage cluster design, and EAs were the sampling units for the first stage sampling. The 2011 EDHS sample included 624 EAs, 187 in urban areas and 437 in rural areas.

Households comprised the second stage of sampling. A complete listing of households was carried out in each of the 624 selected EAs from September 2010 through January 2011. Maps were drawn for each of the clusters and all private households were listed. The listing excluded institutional living arrangements (e.g., army barracks, hospitals, police camps, and boarding schools). A representative sample of 17,817 households was selected for the 2011 EDHS survey. Because the sample is not self weighting at the national level, all data in this report have been weighted unless otherwise specified.

### 4.2. Variables Considered in the Study

The variable considered in this study includes both the dependent variable and the independent variable which affect women use of modern contraceptives in Ethiopia.

#### 4.2.1. Dependent Variable

The outcome variable in this study is use of modern contraception (MCU). All currently-married women in the sample were asked whether they are currently using modern contraceptives or not. Hence, all pregnant women are considered as the non-users in this study. Thus, for the study purpose the response variable modern contraceptive use (MCU) is recoded as follows, those women currently using modern contraceptive are coded as 1 and those who are not currently using modern contraceptive are coded as 0. A woman is characterized as modern method user if she uses Pill, IUD, Injectables, condom, or LAM.

### 4.2.2. Explanatory Variables/Factors

Independent variables which might have an effect on modern contraceptive use are selected for investigation in this study. The primary choice of explanatory variables for this study was based on literature reviews on the factors influencing modern contraceptive uses at the global level and in the country. Therefore, those variables which are reviewed from literature as determinants of modern contraceptive uses (MUC) are classified into demographic, socioeconomic and other proximate variables.

## 4.2.2.1. Demographic Variables

A demographic characteristic of woman which affects the use of modern contraceptives includes, age of a woman, number of living children, age at first marriage, urban-rural residence, region of residence and marital status of a woman.

### 4.2.2.2. Socio-Economic Variables

As socio-economic factors the following variables are included in the model, economic status (wealth index), Religious belief, Employment status of a women (working or not working), Women's education, and Exposure to mass media.

### 4.2.2.3. Other Proximate (Health Related) Variable

As proxy indicators the following variables are included: Knowledge of any method, Women's approval of FP, Husband's approval of FP, Discussed family planning (FP) with partner and visited by family planning worker and told of family planning.

## 5. Multilevel Logistic Regression

Multilevel statistical techniques can be used to predict a binary dependent variable from a set of independent variables. It can be employed in the simplest case without explanatory variables (usually called the empty model) and also with explanatory variables by allowing only the intercept term or both the intercept and slopes (regression coefficients) to vary randomly, and the coefficients are assumed to follow a multivariate normal.

To keep the discussion on multilevel logistic regression models simple and taking into account the data to be analyzed in this study we concentrate on the case of two-levels. We note that extensions to the case of three or higher levels is straight forward (see Snijders and Bosker, 1999). In this study the basic data structure of the two-level logistic regression is a collection of N groups (regions) and within-group j ( j =1, 2,..., N) a random sample of  $n_j$ level-one units (Women). The outcome variable, use of maternal health care service, is dichotomous and is denoted by  $Y_{ij} = 0$  (not using the service) and  $Y_{ij} = 1$  (using the service) for women i in region j (i=1, 2,...,  $n_j$ , j=1, 2, ..., N).

For the proper application of multilevel analysis the first logical step is to test heterogeneity of proportions between the groups or regions. For this purpose we use two tests: a chi-square based nonparametric test and a parametric test. The parametric test will be discussed in the subsequent sections. In this section we present the nonparametric test. To test whether there are indeed systematic differences between the groups, the well-known chi-square test for contingency table can be used. First, we consider the chi-square test and then discuss the results we obtain on the basis of the test. The test statistic is

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} n_{j} \frac{\left(\hat{p}_{j} - \hat{P}_{.}\right)^{2}}{\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p}_{.})}, \qquad (1)$$

Where,  $\hat{p}_j = \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} Y_{ij}$ , the proportion of women who are

using maternal health service in region j,

$$\hat{p}_{\perp} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} Y_{ij}$$
, (2)

The overall proportion of women who use modern contraceptives with  $K = \sum_{i=1}^{N} n_{j}$ 

This statistic  $(x^2 - chi square)$ 

This statistic (  $\chi^2$  chi-square statistic) follows approximately central chi-square distribution with

N -1 degrees of freedom. Further note that  $\hat{p}_{j}$  is an estimate for the group-dependant probability  $\hat{p}_{j}$  and an estimator for the variance of  $\hat{p}_{j}$  can be obtained by using

$$\tau^{2} = S_{between}^{2} - \frac{S_{within}^{2}}{\widetilde{n}}, \qquad (3)$$

Where

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\mathbf{r}} &= \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{1}} \left\{ \mathbf{K} - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{n}_{j}^{2}}{\mathbf{K}} \right\} \\ S_{\text{between}}^{2} &= \frac{\hat{p}.(1-\hat{p}.)}{\tilde{n}(N-1)} \chi^{2} \text{ and } S_{\text{within}}^{2} = \frac{1}{K-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} n_{j} p_{j}.j (1-p_{j}.j) \end{split}$$

### 5.1. The Random Coefficients Logistic Regression Model

Consider k explanatory variables  $X_1, ..., X_k$  The values of  $X_h$  (h = 1, ..., k) are indicated in the usual way by,  $x_{hij}, (h = 1, ..., k : i = 1, ..., n_j : j = 1, ..., N)$ . Since some or all of these variables could be level-one variables, the success probability is not necessarily the same for all individuals in a given group. Therefore, the success probability depends on the individual as well as on the group, and is denoted by  $P_{ij}$ . The outcome variable is expressed as the sum of success probability (expected value of the outcome variable) and a residual term  $\varepsilon_{ij}$ . That is,

$$Y_{ij} = p_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} \tag{4}$$

The residuals  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  are assumed to have mean zero and variance  $\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2$ . The logistic regression models with random coefficients express the log-odds, i.e., the logit of  $p_{ij}$ , as a sum of a linear function of the explanatory variables with randomly varying coefficients. That is,

$$\text{logit}\left(p_{ij}\right) = \log\left(\frac{p_{ij}}{1 - p_{ij}}\right) = \beta_{0j} + \beta_{1j}x_{1ij} + \beta_2x_{2ij} + \dots + \beta_{kj}x_{kj}$$

By Letting  $\beta_{0j} = \beta_0 + U_{0j}$  and  $\beta_{hj} = \beta_h + U_{hj}$ , h = 1,..., kThen,  $logit\left(p_{ij}\right) = log\left(\frac{p_{ij}}{1-p_{ij}}\right) = \beta_0 + \sum_{h=1}^k \beta_h x_{hij} + U_{0j} + \sum_{h=1}^k U_{hj} x_{hij}$ 

ŀ

The  $p_{ii}$ 's can be solved as

$$\frac{\substack{\beta_{0} + \sum \atop h=1}^{k} \beta_{h} x_{hij} + U_{0j} + \sum U_{hi} x_{hij}}{\substack{e}}$$
(5)  
$$\frac{\beta_{0} + \sum \atop h=1}^{k} \beta_{h} x_{hij} + U_{0j} + \sum \limits_{h=1}^{k} U_{hj} x_{hij}}{\substack{h=1}}$$

Thus, a unit difference between the  $X_h$  values of two individuals in the same group is associated with a difference of  $\beta_h$  in their log-odds, or equivalently, a ratio of  $\exp(\beta_h)$  in their odds. Equation (5) does not include a level-one residual because it is an equation for the probability  $p_{ij}$  rather than for the outcome  $Y_{ij}$ . Note that

in the above equation  $\beta_0 + \sum_{h=1}^n \beta_h x_{hij}$  is the fixed part of

the model. The remaining  $u_{0j}$  is called the random part of the model. It is assumed that the residual  $u_{0j}$  are mutually independent and normally distributed with mean zero and variance  $\sigma_0^2$ .

## 5.2. The Random Slope Model

In logistic regression analysis, linear models are constructed for the log-odds. The multilevel analogue, random coefficient logistic regression, is based on linear models for the log-odds that include random effects for the groups or other higher level units. Consider explanatory variables which are potential explanations for the observed outcomes. Denote these variables by  $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_K$ . The values of  $X_h, (h = 1,2,3,\dots, k)$  are indicated in the usual way by  $X_{hij}$ . Since some or all of these variables could be level-one variables, the success probability is not necessarily the same for all individuals in a given group. Therefore, the success probability depends on the individual as well as the group, and is denoted by  $p_{ij}$ .

Now consider a model with group-specific regressions of logit of the success probability,

log  $it(p_{ij})$ , on a single level-one explanatory variable X,

$$\log it(p_{ij}) = \log\left(\frac{p_{ij}}{1 - p_{ij}}\right) = \beta_{0j} + \beta_{1j} x_{1ij}$$
(6)

The intercepts  $\beta_{0j}$  as well as the regression coefficients, or slopes,  $\beta_{1j}$  are group-dependent. These group-dependent coefficients can be split into an average coefficient and the group dependent deviation:

$$\beta_{0j} = \beta_0 + u_{0j} \beta_{1j} = \beta_1 + u_{1j}$$
(7)

Substitution into equation (6) leads to the model

$$\log it(p_{ij}) = \log\left(\frac{p_{ij}}{1 - p_{ij}}\right) = (\beta_0 + u_{0j}) + (\beta_1 + u_{1j})x_{1ij}$$
$$= \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1ij} + u_{0j} + u_{1j}x_{1ij}$$
(8)

There are two random group effects, the random intercept  $u_{0j}$  and the random slope  $u_{1j}$ . It is assumed that the level-two residuals  $u_{0j}$  and  $u_{1j}$  have means zero given the value of the explanatory variable X. Thus  $\beta_1$  is the average regression coefficient and  $\beta_0$  is the average regression intercept. The first term,  $\beta_0 + \sum_{h=1}^n \beta_h x_{hij}$ , is called the fixed part of the model and the second part  $u_{oj} + \sum_{h=1}^n u_{hj} x_{hij}$ , is called the random part.

The term  $u_{1j}X_{1j}$  can be regarded as a *random interaction* between group and X. This model implies that the groups are characterized by two random effects: their intercept and their slope. These two group effects  $u_{0j}$  and  $u_{1j}$  will not be independent, but correlated. Further, it is assumed that, for different groups, the pairs of random effects  $(u_{oj}, u_{1j})$  are independent and identically distributed. Thus, the variances and covariance of the level-two random effects

 $(u_{oj}, u_{1j})$  are denoted as follows:

$$\operatorname{var} \left( u_{oj} \right) = \sigma_{00} = \sigma_{0}^{2}$$
$$\operatorname{var} \left( u_{1j} \right) = \sigma_{11} = \sigma_{1}^{2}$$
$$\operatorname{var} \left( u_{oj}, u_{1j} \right) = \sigma_{01}$$

The model for a single explanatory variable discussed above can be extended by including more variables that have random effects. Suppose that there are k level one explanatory  $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_k$ , and consider the model where all X-variables have varying slopes and random intercept. That is

$$\log it(p_{ij}) = \log\left(\frac{p_{ij}}{1 - p_{ij}}\right) = \beta_{0j} + \beta_1 x_{1ij} + \beta_{2j} x_{2ij} + \dots, + \beta_n x_{nij} \quad (9)$$

Letting  $\beta_{0j} = \beta_0 + u_{0j}$  and  $\beta_{hj} = \beta_h + u_{hj}$  $h = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . We will get,

$$\log it (P_{ij}) = \log \left(\frac{P_{ij}}{1 - p_{ij}}\right) = \beta_0 + \sum_{h=1}^n \beta_h x_{hij} + u_{oj} + \sum_{h=1}^n u_{hj} x_{hij}$$
(10)

The first part of this model,  $\beta_0 + \sum_{h=1}^{n} \beta_h x_{hij}$ , is the fixed

part and the second part,  $u_{oj} + \sum_{h=1}^{n} u_{hj} x_{hij}$ , is the random

part of the model.

As parameter estimation in hierarchical generalized linear models is more complicated than the hierarchical linear models inevitably some kind of approximation is involved, and various kinds of approximation have been proposed. The most frequently used methods are based on a first order or second-order Taylor series expansion of the link function.

# 6. Results and Discussion

The present data set has a two-level hierarchical structure, with 16,700 women nested within eleven geographical regions. In this study, we examined determinants of modern contraceptive use with respect to their demographic, socio-economic and proximate factors. The total number of woman covered in present study is 9,115 married women only who are currently in reproductive age group. Among these, 5318 (58.3 percent) resides in rural areas whereas 3797 (41.7 percent) resides in urban centers. The analysis is carried out in two parts. In the first part, we present determinants of modern contraceptive use among women by using ordinary logistic regressions. Finally, we identify modern contraceptive factors and variations in modern contraceptive use across regions using multilevel logistic regressions model.

# 6.1. Determinants of Modern Contraceptives:-Result of Bivariate Analysis

Place of residence was significantly associated with use of modern contraception. Women who reside in rural areas had lower rate of modern contraceptive use (23.9 percent) than those who lives in urban area (20.7 percent). Hence from the sample distribution of their back ground characteristics of place of residence we may be able to say that women use of modern contraception varies rural-urban among residences.Women use of modern contraception was also associated with their educational status, it is observed that out the total respondents about (39.3 percent) who are currently in reproductive age group had no education, about 39.5 percent are at primary level of education and only 21.2 percent of women had secondary and higher education. The result also indicates that modern contraceptive use for no educations is 23.3 percent, while 21.1 percent for primary education and 39.3 percent when a women has secondary and higher educational level. A mong Women who were orthodox believers about 27 percent are found to be modern contraceptive users. Among 9,115 respondents 15.5 percent and 22.6 percent samples who are using modern are Muslim and protestant believers contraceptives respectively.

Working status of a woman is an important predictor ( $\chi^2 = 47.188$ , P-value<0.001) predictor and which is associated with use of modern contraception. Table 1 shows that about 19.6 percent of women who are not currently working had reported as they are not using modern contraceptives, where as the rest 25.7 percent who had a work are using modern contraceptives. Whether a woman receives mass media messages by promoting family planning is highly associated with modern use of contraception. Among 9115 respondents about 60.0 percent of women receives FP messages using Radio. Majority of women who receives FP messages in radios (19.6 percent) had used modern contraceptives higher than those who do not have exposure to media messages.

Use of modern contraceptive varied from one region to other. The result shows that region of residence is significantly associated with modern contraceptives (P<0.001). A mhara region had the highest (30.8 percent) percentage of modern contraceptive use followed by Gambella 29.4 percent. Somalia and Afar had the lowest percentages (4.7 percent and 9.5 percent) respectively for modern contraceptives use in Ethiopia. Woman desire for other children may prevent a woman to use contraceptives. Respondents were asked whether they want another child in the next two years, the result indicates that 25.8 percent those doesn't need more children while 74.2 percent of them wants one or more children in the next two years. About 17.6 percent of the respondents who uses modern contraceptives want more than one child and 82.4 percent of them who wants no more children, are not using modern contraception.

### 6.2. Multilevel Modelling of Modern Contraceptives

Our response variable is a binary indicator of whether a woman uses modern contraceptives. For research purpose, the study was restricted to married woman only who are currently using modern contraceptives within five years of the survey. For this reason, the sample is restricted to women who had been currently married and within the five-year period who are using modern contraceptives prior to the survey. In this study we consider multilevel models to allow for and to explore between-region variance of modern contraceptives use. The data have a two-level hierarchical structure with 9115 women at level 1, nested within 11 regions at level 2. The multilevel logistic regression analysis was used for comparisons and analysis of the effect of socio-economic and demographic variables on modern contraceptive use among women and to estimate any residual correlations across regions in predicting modern contraceptive use in Ethiopia.

All the selected covariates used in this study were found significant in the bivariate and classical analysis which was done in chapter 4.1 before the start of multilevel analysis. The multilevel process was stepwise. The first step examined the null model of overall probability of modern contraceptive use without adjustment for predictors. Second step included both the analysis of single and multilevel model for random intercept and fixed slope multilevel analysis. Third step considered a model for two level random intercept and random slope (random coefficient) multilevel logistic regression analysis. The Wald  $\chi^2$  test was used to determine significance of individual  $\beta$  coefficients.

## 6.3. Test of Heterogeneity

A chi-square test statistic was applied to assess heterogeneity in the proportion of women who are using modern contraceptives within regions. The test yield  $\chi^{2}$ =216.2,(d.f=10, P<0.001). Therefore, regions are indeed significantly heterogeneous. Thus, the significance of this test gives us an evidence of heterogeneity of use of modern contraception across regions in Ethiopia.

## 6.4. Multilevel Logistic Regression Model Comparison

The deviance-based chi-square value ( $\chi 2 = 197.99$ , d.f = 1, p-value<0.001) for the empty model is shown in the above Table 1. The deviance-based chi-square is calculated as the difference of log likelihoods between an empty model for single level logistic regression (Appendix B, fitted model 3 :) and empty model for multilevel logistic regression (Appendix B, fitted model 4 :), which is to be compared with the critical value from the chi-square distribution with 1 degree of freedom. The significance of this test further implies that an empty model with random intercept is more appropriate than an empty model without random intercept.

The deviance-based chi-square value ( $\chi 2 = 140.44$ , df = 1, p-value<  $\chi 2=0.001$ ) is significant for multilevel random intercept model implies that the multilevel random intercept and fixed slope model fits better as compared to the multilevel empty model. Also the deviance-based chi-square value for random effects ( $\chi 2 = 265.63$ , df = 4, p-value<=0.001) for multilevel random slope model (random coefficient model) is also statistically significant. This further implies that in comparison to the model with multilevel random intercept and fixed slope model the multilevel random intercept and random coefficients model has a better fit. Thus, the deviance-based chi-square test shown in Table 1 implies that among the multilevel logistic regression models, the multilevel random intercept and random slope model fits the data better than the others models to predict use of modern contraception in Ethiopia for the contraceptive data set as in[22].

6.4.1. Selecting Model which Best Fitted to the Data (Testing for Group Effects)

We can test the null hypothesis that there are no group differences

Hypothesis

 $H_0$ :  $\sigma^2_{(region)} = 0$  (Multiple logistic regressions is best

fits to the data

 $H_1$ :  $\sigma^2_{(region) \neq 0}$  (Multilevel logistic regression is best

fits to the data.

Where  $\sigma^2_{(region)}$  is level-2 error variance. The test statistics likelihood ratio is compared with a chi-squared distribution with degrees of freedom equal to the number of extra parameters in the more complex model. Rejection of the null hypothesis implies that there are real group differences, in which case the multilevel model is preferred over the single-level model. If, however, we fail to reject the null we would be justified in fitting a single-level model. The likelihood ratio test statistic is difference between -2 log-likelihood value of multiple logistic regression and calculated multilevel logistic regression is as 7698.621-7435.487=265.63, with 4 d.f and P-value <0.001. The d.f is 4 because there are four more parameters in multilevel random slope logistic regression model (Appendix B, fitted model 7). The tabulated values (critical value) is  $\chi^2(4) = 9.4877$ . Since the test statistic based on deviance (-2\*log likelihood) =265.63 is greater than the tabulated value or the P-value is very small (p<0.001) implied that multilevel logistic regression model is best fit over the ordinary multiple logistic regression models. Further from the model fit diagnostics statistics Deviance, AIC and BIC presented on table 2. We can see that the model fit statistics values (Deviance=7435.485,AIC=7489.487 and BIC=7681.664) for random intercept are much smaller than the other multilevel models therefore the random coefficient model best fits the data in comparison with other multilevel models.

## 6.5. Multilevel Empty Model Analysis

Intercept only model is the simplest hierarchical linear model in which only the intercept varies between level two units and no explanatory variables are entered in the model. The empty model is considered as a parametric version of assessing heterogeneity of regions for modern use of contraceptives. According to the result (**Table 2**) the variance of the random factor ( $\sigma_{oU}^2 = 0.579889$ , S.E=0.1343654, p<0.001), and the Wald test statistic is (the square of the Z-ratio), Z= (0.579889/0.1343654)<sup>2</sup>=18.92, which is compared with a chi-squared distribution on 1

degree of freedom, gives a p-value less than 0.001. Therefore, we conclude that there is significant variation between regions in using modern contraceptives among regions.

Multilevel Empty model results are shown in Table 2. From the fitted model it is observed that within region variations are higher than between region variations for modern contraceptive use (ICC=0.092, S.E=0.0389, p-value<0.009). Because of the Intraclass correlation coefficient shows a fair amount of variation across regions, we add regional level variables in random intercept model. The variance partition coefficient which measures the proportion of the total variance that is due to differences between regions is 0.092, in empty model the variance partition coefficient is equivalent with intra class correlation coefficient. Thus 9.2% of the residual variation in the propensity to use modern contraceptive use is attributable to unobserved regional characteristics. This implies that use of modern contraception within region is less homogenous than between regions in Ethiopia. In other words, the variance is low at woman level and high at regional level for modern contraceptive use.

The deviance-based Chi-square ( $\chi^2 = 197.985$ , d.f=1, p-value<0.001) indicated in Table 2 above is the difference in -2\*log likelihood between an empty model without random effect (-2\*log likelihood = 9607.304 (see Appendix B, Fitted Model 4) and an empty model with random effect (-2\*log likelihood =9409.319 see Appendix). This implies

that an empty model with random intercept is much better than an empty model without random intercept. The variance of the random factor in empty model is significant (and also non zero) which indicates that there are regional differences in using modern contraceptive use across regions in Ethiopia.

The likelihood ratio statistic for testing the null hypothesis

that  $\sigma_{u0}^2 = 0$  is reported in the final line of the output (fitted model: 3 in appendix). The test statistics is 197.34 (P<0.001) hence, there is strong evidence that the between region variance is non-zero. From Table 2, we can say that the odds of using modern contraceptives in an 'average' region (one with  $\sigma_{\mu0}^2 = 0$  is estimated as  $\hat{\beta}_0 = -1.404948$ . The intercept, representing the expected change in modern contraceptive use for a woman is significant at 5% level of significance, implies the intercept estimate of -1.4049 is now the estimated log-odds of using modern contraceptives for an individual woman living in an 'average' region. The variance component corresponding to the intercept for region j is 0.579889 with standard error of 0.1343654, demonstrating that the inclusion of intercept in regional-level variables will explain much of the level-2 variation. However, the estimate is still more than three times larger than its standard error, suggesting that there remains a significant amount of unexplained regional-level variance

 Table 1. Multilevel Logistic Regression and Their Deviance-Based Chi-Square Test for Modern Contraceptive Use among Women of Reproductive Age

 Group in Ethiopia as in [22]

Fitted Model	Multilevel Empty Model	Multilevel Random Intercept Model	Multilevel Random Slope Model
-2*Log Likelihood	9409.319	7558.182	7434.152
Deviance-Based Chi- Squared Value	197.99	140.44	265.63
P-Value	0.000*	0.000*	0.000*
Model Fit Diagnostics			
Deviance	9409.966	7558.182	7435.487
Aic	9413.966	7598.182	7489.487
Bic	9428.202	7740.553	7681.664

\*Significant (P-value < 0.05)

 Table 2.
 Parameter estimates for empty model logistic regression for Modern Contraceptive Use among Women of Reproductive age Group in Ethiopia as in[22]

Fixed Part	Coefficient	S.E	Z-Value	P-Value
$\beta_0 =$ Intercept	-1.405	0.178	-7.91	0.000*
Random Part	Variance Component	S.E	Z-Value	P-Value
$\sigma_0^2 = _{Var(U_{oj})}$ (Level-Two Variance)	0.580	0.134	4.32	0.000*
Rho( $\rho$ )	0.093	0.039	2.38	0.009*
Chi-Square	Deviance-Based 197.985			0.000*

\*significant at 1% level (Data source: as in[22])

To see estimates of the regional effects or residuals,  $\hat{U}_{0i}$ obtained from the null model. We calculate the residuals and produce a caterpillar plot with the regional effects shown in rank order together with their 95% confidence intervals. The plot shows regional residuals, with 95% confidence intervals, for modern contraceptives dataset. There are eleven residuals one for each region. The width of the confidence interval associated with a particular region depends on the standard error of that region's residual estimate, which is inversely related to the size of the sample. Here, the intervals are narrow and of a similar width because a large sample was taken from each region. The residuals represent regional departures from the overall mean, so a region whose confidence interval does not overlap the line at zero (representing the mean usage of modern contraceptives value across all regions) is said to differ significantly from the average at the 5% level. At the left-hand side of the plot, there is a cluster of regions whose mean usage of modern contraceptives is lower than average

### 6.6. Multilevel Random Intercept Model Analysis

To relax the assumption of conditional independence among the responses for the same region given the covariates, we included a region specific random intercept  $\beta_{0j}$  in the linear predictor to obtain a random-intercept logistic regression model. The random intercept and fixed slope logistic regression model is a multilevel model which have random intercept and fixed coefficients of predictors. As it can be seen from Table 3, the analysis of multilevel logistic regression revealed that use of modern contraceptive in woman's varied among regions. The deviance based chi-square test for the random effects in random intercept model is  $\chi^2 = 140.44$  (d.f. = 1, P<0.001). Which indicate that the random intercept model with fixed slope is found to give a better fit as compared to the empty model for predicting use of modern contraceptives among regions in Ethiopia (see appendix B, fitted model 6).

 Table 3.
 Estimates for random intercept and fixed coefficients model for Modern Contraceptive Use among Women of Reproductive age Group in Ethiopia as in [22]

Fixed Effects	Level	( <i>β</i>	)		S.E	Z-Value	P-Value
Constant		-3.48	36		0.307	-11.29	0.000*
Age	25-34	-0.12	27		0.086	-1.47	0.141
(15-24(Ref.))	35-49	-0.93	31		0.111	-8.35	0.000*
Place Of Residence (Urban (Ref.))	Rural	-0.57	79		0.089	-6.45	0.000*
	Primary	0.29	9		0.075	3.97	0.000*
Educational Level (No Educ. (Ref.))	Secondary &+	0.21	2		0.109	1.94	0.052
D.I. i.e.	Orthodox	0.87	2		0.230	3.79	0.000*
Religion	Protestant	0.57	4		0.228	2.51	0.012*
(Others (Ref,))	Muslim	0.27	3		0.232	1.18	0.240
	1 Or 2 Child	2.32	2		0.091	25.54	0.000*
Living Children	3or 4 Child	2.57	0		0.123	20.79	0.000*
(No Child (Ref.))	5 Or More	2.87	1	0.143		20.12	0.000*
Heard FP On Radio (No (Ref.))	Yes	0.27	9		0.069	4.02	0.000*
Watch FP On TV (No (Ref.))	Yes	0.15	5		0.088	1.77	0.077
Read FP In Newspaper (No (Ref.))	Yes	0.04	5		0.099	0.45	0.651
Visited Health Facility (No (Ref.))	Yes	0.11	1		0.074	1.50	0.135
Desire (No More (Ref.))	Wants	0.68	1		0.060	11.31	0.000*
Working Status (No (Ref.))	Yes	0.05	8		0.060	0.97	0.334
Random Part	Variance Co	omponent			S.E.	Z-Value	P-Value
Random Intercept , $\sigma_0^2 = Var(U_{oj})$	0.557		0.131		4.23	0.000*	
Intra Region Correlation	0.086		0.037		2.32	0.010*	
Deviance-Based Chi-Square	140.44					0.000*	
Model Fit Diagnostics							
Ν	Model	DF	A	IC	BIC	Deviance	
9115	-3779.09	20	759	8.2	7740.5	7558	3.182

\*significant at 5% level, (ref) = reference category

The fixed part of Table 3 shows that factors Age group 35-49, Type of place of residence (rural- urban), woman's educational level (primary education), Religious belief (Orthodox and protestant), Number of living children (who has 1 or 2 child, has 3 or 4 children and has more than 5 children), Heard family planning on radio last few months and women Desire for more children were statistically significant factors influencing women use of modern contraception in Ethiopia. The result displayed in Table 3 also indicates that controlling for regional differences, we would expect the odds of using modern contraceptives to decrease by a factor of exp (-0.126) = 0.880 for each a year increase in age group 35-49 and those who are in primary education are more likely for use of modern contraceptives than those who did not attained school at all. Hearing family planning messages on radios is also another important factor for use of modern contraceptives in Ethiopia. The intra class correlation (intra region correlation) is a measure of variation of woman's use of modern contraception among regions.

Form the intra-Region correlation coefficient (Rho) in Table 2, roughly about 91% (1-Rho=1-0.09=0.91) of variation in modern contraceptive use is due to women factor or variation within regions and only about 9% variations were due to level two effects or between regions. The intra region correlation coefficient is statistically significant at the 5% level of significance. The random intercept ( $\beta_{oi}$ = 0.31002,p-value<0.001) from Table 3 indicates that use of modern contraceptive differ from region to regions in terms of all measured covariates. The log odds of the intercept for modern contraceptive use when Age groups, Type of place of residence, Highest educational level, Religious belief, Number of living children, Heard family planning on radio last few months, Heard family planning on TV last few months, Heard family planning in newspaper/magazine last few months, Visited health facility last 12 months, Desire for more children and Woman's currently working are all.

zero is -3.485788. The log odds of Modern contraceptive use decreases by -0.1268639 when age in age group 25-34 increase by one year, but other covariates remains unchanged. The log odds of modern contraceptive use decrease by -0.5798085 for a change in residential area keeping the random effects and all other covariates unchanged or the effect of changing residential area from urban without changing the effect of regions. The variance component for the random intercept continues to be significant, suggesting that there remains some variation in average use of modern contraceptives which are not accounted for by the variables in the model. Thus this result may be justified by estimating an alternative model that contains the random effect components by incorporating random slope model.

## 6.7. Multilevel Random Slope Model Analysis

It is possible to generalize the model so that the effect of level-1 covariates is different in each region. This can be done by adding random coefficients in front of some of the individual-level covariates of the model. In random intercept model we allowed the intercept only to vary across regions by fixing explanatory covariates, But the relation between explanatory and dependent variables can differ between groups (regions in our case) in many ways, for example, in contraceptive use (nesting structure: women within regions) it is possible that the effect of religious belief of a woman on contraceptive use is stronger in some regions than in others. In the analysis of covariance, this phenomenon is known as heterogeneity of regression across groups by covariate interaction. In the hierarchical linear models it is modeled by random slopes as in[22].

Models fitted previously have allowed the probability of using modern contraceptives to depend on the region of residence through individual characteristics. This was achieved by allowing the model intercept to vary randomly across regions in a random intercept model. We have assumed, however, that the effects of individual characteristics such as religion, radio messages, and place of residence are the same in each region, i.e. the coefficients of all explanatory variables are fixed across regions. Because it is possible that the effect of individual religious belief, status of hearing radio messages and the effect of place of residence varies across regions these slopes are treated as random. We will now extend the random intercept model by allowing both the intercept and the coefficient of religion, radio messages, and place of residence to vary randomly across regions.

From Table 4 the random coefficient estimates for intercepts and the slopes vary significantly at 5% significance level, which implies that there is a considerable variation in the effects of religion, place of residence and radio messages, these variables differ significantly across the regions. The variance component for the variance of intercept in the random slope model is 1.441054, which is still large relative to its standard error of 0.8038027. Thus there remains some regional-level variance unaccounted for in the model. The variance component corresponding to the slope of religion is 0.2254517, which is also relatively large with respect to its standard error, thus, this suggests that the effect of religion may be justified in constructing the effect to be random. The effect of orthodox religion as log-odds of use of modern contraceptive in region j is estimated as  $0.8017296 + \hat{U}_{1i}$ , and the between-regions variance in the effect of religion is estimated as 0.2254517. The negative intercept-religion covariance estimate (  $\hat{\sigma}_{10}$  =-0.5436457, S.E=0.2861097) implies that regions with above-average use of modern contraceptive (intercept residual  $\hat{U}_0 > 0$ ) tend also to have below-average effects of religion (slope residual  $\hat{U}_1 < 0$ ). The random effect of place on the log-odds of use of modern contraceptive in region j is also estimated as -0.6711194+ $\hat{U}_{1j}$ , and the between-regions variance in the effect of place is estimated as 0.2531976. The deviance-based Chi-square value of 265.63, shown on Table 4, is the difference between the model with and without random effects models. The significance of this difference further indicates that a model with a random coefficient is more appropriate to explain regional variation than a model with fixed coefficients.

The correlation between the intercept and random slope of place of residence is -0.9419006. This implies that women who reside in rural areas uses modern contraceptives less than those in urban areas by a larger factor at regions with higher intercepts compared to regions with lower intercepts. In general, Positive correlation between intercepts and slopes implies that regions with higher intercepts tend to have on average higher slopes and the negative sign for the correlation between intercepts and slopes implies that regions with higher intercepts tend to have on average lower slopes on the corresponding predictors.

The intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) shows that roughly about 64% of use of contraceptive variations in religion is due to the random factor of religion (level two factor) whereas about 35% Of variations in contraceptive use are due to fixed factors. About 93-94% variations in modern contraceptive use are due to fixed effects where as only about 6-7% is due to the effect of place of residence (radio message). This suggests that considering the effect of radio messages and place of residence as only fixed will seriously affect the estimate while modelling contraceptive uses. The ICC for intercept is 30.45% which indicates that roughly about 31% of variations due to the random effects are still unexplained. We observed that place of residence, religion and the status of a woman to hear family planning messages on radios shows that all regions have their own separate estimates hence the random slope model suggests that these parameters have their own estimates at all regions. From the effect of place of residence for Afar, Amhara, Oromia, Benishangul Gumuz, SNNPR and Gambella is significant when individual analysis is allowed for all regions. For Amhara region it is observed that the effect of place of residence, religion and radio messages significantly affects women use of modern contraception.

Generally, the results of the random slope multilevel logistic regression analysis suggest that there exist considerable differences in modern contraceptive use among regions in Ethiopia. As a result, it is suggested that all regions need have their own separate parameter estimates from logistic regressions.

## 6.8. Comparison of Parameter Estimates of Single Level with Multilevel Models

In comparison to multiple logistic regression (single level) and multilevel models (random coefficient multilevel model) from **Table 5**, it is observed that there exist considerable differences between the  $\beta$  coefficients of these two models for each of the explanatory variables. These difference in  $\beta$ coefficients estimated from a multilevel and standard model arises because of the addition of the random effects, implies that a single level model for this outcome variable is not appropriate.

From **Table** 5, we observe a considerable differences in  $\beta$ coefficients when the multilevel effects have not been taken into consideration. For instance, for educational categories (primary, and secondary and higher educational levels), the  $\beta$  coefficients of the single level model have been overestimated by almost 17.8 percent and 15.4 percent respectively (Table 5).We also observed that the standard errors of  $\beta$  coefficients have been underestimated for Visiting Family planning workers last 12 months and Desire for more children by almost 14.0 percent and 29.8 percent respectively (Table 5). In general, the  $\beta$  coefficients are seriously affected for almost all explanatory variables somewhat in both directions either in over or under direction from the true value when multilevel effects are not taken into consideration in modeling. Generally the difference in  $\beta$  coefficients estimated from a multilevel and single level model are different because of the addition of the random effects, It also observed that multilevel method best fit the data as compared to traditional regression method implied that traditional (single level) models are not appropriate.

Fixed Effects	Level	$(\hat{\beta})$	S.E	Z-Value	P-Value
$\beta_{0j}$ (Intercept)		3.11	0.36	8.59	0.000*
Age In	25-34	-0.14	0.15	1.70	0.088
(15-24(Ref.))	35-49	-0.97	0.11	-8.59	0.000*
Place Of Residence (Urban (Ref.))	Rural	-0.67	0.18	-3.66	0.000*
Educational Level	Primary	0.27	0.07	3.61	0.000*
(No Educ. (Ref.))	Secondary &+	0.21	0.10	2.04	0.041
D l'alan	Orthodox	0.80	0.29	2.73	0.006*
(Others (Bef))	Protestant	0.37	0.39	0.96	0.337*
(Others (Ref,))	Muslim	0.27	0.23	1.18	0.908
	1 Or 2 Child	2.36	0.09	25.54	0.000*
(No Child (Ref.))	3or 4 Child	2.63	0.12	20.79	0.000*
	5 Or More	2.96	0.14	20.12	0.000*
Heard Fp On Radio (No (Ref.))	Yes	0.43	0.16	2.66	0.008*
Visited Health Facility (No (Ref.))	Yes	0.65	0.06	10.69	0.000*

 Table 4.
 Parameter Estimates for Random intercept and slope Model (Random Slope Model) for Modem Contraceptive Use among Women of Reproductive age Group in Ethiopia as in [22]

Visited Fp Worker (No (Ref.))	Yes	0.12	0.07	1.63	0.104
Desire (No More (Ref.))	Wants	0.29	0.07	4.14	0.000*
Working Status (No (Ref.))	Yes	0.06	0.06	0.95	0.341
Random Part	Variance Component		S.E.	Z-Value	P-Value
$\sigma_0^2 = Var\left(U_{0j}\right)$	1.441		0.804	11.793	0.036*
$\sigma_1^2 = Var\left(U_{1j}\right)$	0.225		0.116	1.935	0.027*
$\sigma_{2}^{2} = Var\left(U_{2j}\right)$	0.253		0.178	1.625	0.050*
$\sigma_{3}^{2} = Var\left(U_{3j}\right)$	0.214		0.117	1.83	0.033*
$\sigma_{10} = Cov \left( U_{1j}, U_{0j} \right)$	-0.544		0.286	-1.900	0.028*
$\sigma_{02} = Cov \left( U_{0j}, U_{2j} \right)$	0.167		0.231	0.725	0.234
$\sigma_{30} = Cov\left(U_{3j}, U_{0j}\right)$	-0.568		0.361	-1.574	0.058
$\sigma_{12} = Cov \left( U_{1j}, U_{2j} \right)$	0.194		0.117	1.651	0.049*
$\sigma_{13} = Cov \left( U_{1j}, U_{3j} \right)$	-0.065		0.093	-0.696	0.243
$\sigma_{23} = Cov \left( U_{2j}, U_{3j} \right)$	-0.058		0.096	-0.605	0.272
Intra Region Correlation	0.086		0.037	2.32	0.010*
Deviance-Based Chi-Square	140.44				0.000*
Model Fit Diagnostics					
N	Model	DF	AIC	BIC	Deviance
9115	-3717.7	27	7489.5	7681.7	7435.5

\*Statistically Significant at (P<0.05), (Ref.) = indicates the reference category,  $var(U_{0j})$ ,  $var(U_{1j})$ ,  $var(U_{2j})$ ,  $var(U_{3j})$  are variances of intercept, religion, place and radio messages respectively.

 Table 5.
 Coefficients and Standard Errors for the important Factors in ordinary regression and multilevel model for Modern Contraceptive Use among Women of Reproductive age Group in Ethiopia as in [22]

		Single Level Model	Multilevel Model
Parameter		$(\hat{\beta})$	$(\hat{eta})$
Fixed I	Parameter		
Intercept		-3.273*(0.252)	-3.110*(0.362)
	25-34	-0.147(0.085)	-0.149(0.087)
Age	35-49	-0.944*(0.109)	-0.971*(0.113)
	15-24(Ref.)	-	-
	Primary	0.332*(0.074)	0.273*(0.076)
Educational Level	Secondary&+	0.254*(0.107)	0.215*(0.105)
	No Education(Ref.)	-	-
	Has 1 Or 2 Child	2.302*(0.089)	2.356*(0.092)
Living Children	Has 3 Or 4 Child	2.524*(0.121)	2.633*(0.125)

		0.544%(0.100)	0.055%(0.1.15)
	Has 5 Or More Child	2.744*(0.139)	2.956*(0.145)
	Has No Child (Ref.)	-	-
Visited ED Western	Yes	0.107(0.073)	0.122(0.075)
Visited FP Workers	No(Ref.)	-	-
Visited Health Facility	Yes	0.662*(0.059)	0.654*(0.061)
	No(Ref.)	-	-
Desire For More Children	Wants	-0.423*(0.069)	-0.29*(0.072)
	No(Ref.)	-	-

\*Significance at 5%, level of significance, multiple logistic regression, multilevel logistic for random coefficient model.

# 7. Discussions

This study is intended to model determinants of modern contraceptive use among rural and urban populations of Ethiopia using the Ethiopian demographic and health Survey data as in[22]. Accordingly, different models are fitted to the data to identify potential determinants of use of modern contraception among women in reproductive age group. First, the ordinary logistic regression model was fitted to the data and significant variables were considered for further investigation in multilevel models. Secondly, the multilevel models were fitted; the multilevel model was step wise, on the first step the intercept only or the empty model was fitted to check whether multilevel effects or heterogeneity exists among the hierarchies. The next step was random intercept and fixed slope model, usually called random intercept model, is fitted and finally the random intercept and random slope (random slope model) is fitted. The results are discussed in the following paragraphs.

The 2011 EDHS data is based on two stage stratified sampling. In the first stage enumeration areas from the 2007 Population and House Census (PHC) were the sampling units for the first stage and then households comprises the second stage, hence the 2010/11 EDHS is a hierarchical structured data. Our study found that, multilevel effects are all significant and have to be taken into consideration in logistic regression modeling. The study result of ordinary logistic regression on reveals that region of residence, place of residence, religious belief, age of woman (in categories), highest educational level, Number of living children, Heard family planning on radio last few months, Visited health facility last 12 months, Desire for more children are the most important explanatory variables for modern use of contraception in Ethiopia. Women use of modern contraception was significantly associated with regions of residences. This might be because of the fact that regions are highly correlated therefore the assumption of independency of higher level (regions) in classical regression analysis might seriously bias the standard errors of regression coefficients as stated in Goldstein (2003). The odds of using modern contraception in Tigray, Afar and Dire Dawa were not significantly differing from that of woman in Addis Ababa. This is in line as in [21]. This is because of the effect of random covariates such us status of a woman to hearing family planning messages on radios might affect women in those regions and also the effect of educational attainment in

Addis Ababa might be higher than those regions. It is also observed that woman in Somalia were also less likely to use modern contraception than women in Addis Ababa, the effect of place of residence and religion might widen the use of modern contraceptives in the two regions.

The likelihood of using modern contraception is significantly associated with respondents religious belief (p<0.001). Orthodox believers were 41.7 percent more uses modern contraception than those who had other religion. This result also seems to agree with findings of several studies done in Ethiopia and over the world as in[21];[24];[25] and[26]. Protestant believers were 77.5 percent times more to use modern contraception than those in other religion. Muslim religion believers were 35.5 percent times more likely to use modern contraception than those in other religion. This study found that using modern contraceptive is significantly associated with age of woman. For a one step increase in age category( to age group 25-35), the log odds of using modern contraceptive in women were decreased by 12.2 percent when compared with age group 15-24. For a one step increase in age category (to 35-49), the log odds of using modern contraceptive in women were decreased by 61.6 percent when compared with age group 15-24. Therefore, women are more likely to use contraceptives in age category of 15-24 as compared to other age categories. This indicates that women use modern contraceptives more likely in their early ages of 15-24 (mostly in their early age of 20's year). This result maintains what is stated with studies done in Bangladesh as in[27].

Use of modern contraception was associated with women educational attainment. The odds of using modern contraception for women who have primary education were 34.5 percent times higher compared with those women who do not have education and the log odds of modern use of contraception also increases by 23.4 percent for women with secondary and higher education when compared with women who do not have school education at all. Generally, use of modern contraceptive is likely to increase when woman educational level increases. This is because they understand the benefits and the side effects of contraceptives better when they are more educated. These results also agree with the results of several authors as in[28];[29]; and[30].

Another important model fitted in this analysis was multilevel logistic regression. In this analysis modern use of contraceptives was significantly associated with place of residence, woman educational attainment, age group, religious probations and beliefs, heard of family planning messages with radio in the past few months, visited health facility in the last 12 months and desire for more children were the most important factors in multilevel models. The fixed parts of the effects of explanatory variables or factors included in the multilevel models have similar interpretation as that of the multiple logistic regressions analysis but the random parts of the intercept and the coefficients provide additional information.

The random intercept in the "Random intercept and fixed Slope" model is significantly different from zero (P-Value= 5%) indicating that use of modern contraceptive differ from region to regions. The deviance based chi-square test for random effects in random intercept model is also high ( $\chi^2 =$ 140.43, d.f=1, p-value<0.001). This indicate that the random intercept model with the fixed slope is found to give a better fit as compared to the empty model for predicting use of modern contraceptives across regions of Ethiopia. It can be argued that use of contraceptives types differs across regions because of the differences across regions in religious belief, women place of residence and their educational attainment. The variance component of random intercept is also large which further supports the fact that there is a high variability in modern contraceptive use in Ethiopia across regions. Within region variation further implies that use of within regions are less likely contraceptives (or heterogeneous) than between regions. Thus multilevel analysis has demonstrated that different regions have significantly different mean effects, and that the effect for place of residence is different in rural and urban areas across the regions. This supports the arguments of as in[21];[30] and[31].

The random coefficient estimates for intercepts and the slopes vary significantly at 5% significance level, which implies that there is a significant variation in the effects of religion, place of residence and radio messages, these variables differ significantly across the regions. The variance component for the random intercept is large compared to its standard error. Thus there remains some regional-level variance unexplained for in the final model. The variance component corresponding to the radio message in the random slope model, however, is quite small relative to its standard error. This suggests that the researcher may be justified in constraining the effect to be fixed.

The fixed part of the random intercept model shows that on average urban women use modern contraceptive better than rural women, this is the fact that women in urban areas have a higher access of modern contraceptives than the rural counter parts, it might be the fact that women in urban areas might highly participate or attain education when compared with women in rural areas and also the availability and awareness behind using modern contraceptives in urban areas is higher than in rural areas. On the other hand the random slopes of the multilevel model suggests that religion, place of residence and women status of listening radio messages of family planning significantly differs across regions suggesting that these variables are different for different regions for predicting modern contraceptive use in Ethiopia. Hence, using ordinary logistic regressions is not advisable for predicting modern contraceptives in such data set without accounting for the effect of regions.

On the other hand it was found that the Random intercept and explanatory variables provide additional information. First, the variances of the random components related to the random term were found to be statistically significant implying presence of differences in use of modern contraceptives across the regions. Secondly, from explanatory variables considered here, the effect of the religious beliefs of a woman, place of residence and women exposure to listening family planning messages in radios differs from region to region. Third, the interaction between random parts of religious beliefs and place of residence provide significant differences on use of modern contraceptives across regions. This result supports what was noted as in[21] and[32].

We observed considerable differences in  $\beta$  coefficients when the multilevel effects have not been taken into consideration. Ordinary multiple logistic regressions β underestimate the coefficients compared to the multilevel regression as stated in as in[33];[34] and[26]. Standard errors in single model underestimated the  $\beta$ coefficients, because of the fact that in a single-level model standard errors are calculated on the assumption that individuals in the sample provide independent pieces of information. When outcomes are clustered, however, there will be fewer than independent observations. The number of independent observations is called the effective sample size (ESS) and depends on the degree of clustering (as measured by the intra-class correlation or variance partition coefficient). For example, if we consider the extreme situation where the intra-class correlation is 1 (all individuals in a group have the same y value) and therefore each group provides only one independent observation. In that case the ESS would be equal to the number of groups. Thus standard errors from a multilevel analysis would therefore be substantially higher than those from a single-level analysis. Underestimation in a single-level model is especially severe for coefficients of variables that are defined at the group level. Multilevel modelling is one way of obtaining correct standard errors. An alternative approach, which originated in the analysis of data from multistage sample designs, is to adjust the standard errors by a factor related to the intra-class correlation. This factor is called the design effect. However, standard error adjustment methods treat clustering as a nuisance rather than a feature of substantive interest (Goldstein, 2003). Hence, ignoring the multilevel effects will result in a serious bias of the coefficients of the parameters estimates. Generally slight reduction of standard errors in single level analysis might imply that ignoring the effect of regional variations will decrease the precision of the estimates. It is also observed that multiple logistic

regression underestimates the parameter when compared with multilevel models and these implies, multilevel models best fits the data as compared to traditional(single level) methods. This result is in line as in[33].

# 8. Conclusions and Recommendations

## 8.1. Conclusions

This study revealed that socio-economic, demographic and proximate variables have important effect on the modern contraceptives use in Ethiopia. Place of residence, woman educational attainment, age group, religious beliefs, heard of family planning messages with radio in the past few months, visited health facility in the last 12 months and desire for more children were the most important determinants for use of modern contraceptives in Ethiopia. Although, there is higher variability in modern contraceptive use in Ethiopia between regions, it is observed that women who are living in urban use modern contraceptives better than those in rural counter parts. The reason for this disparity among ruralurban residences might be access to mass Media messages such as radios, and health facilities in rural areas compared to urban areas. Therefore, it is useful to increase health care centers and promote family planning messages in radios in rural areas to directly address this contraceptive use disparities between regions and in rural parts of the country.

It is found that education is statistically significant predator for modern contraception use. The study also revealed that there is a marked difference between respondents level of educational attainment in using modern contraceptives. Women who have education at least primary, secondary and higher school attainments are using modern contraceptives better than those women who do not have school education at all. This may be because of the fact that educated women are well informed about use and the side effects of contraception. In addition, educated ones know the benefit of limiting births than those women with no school educations. Thus, efforts should be made to motivate women to attain school educations to minimize the problems. The finding of this study also shows that religious belief of a woman has an important effect on modern contraceptive use. Women who are orthodox believers are using modern contraceptives higher than those with no religions. Therefore an effort should be exerted to teach women about family planning methods, especially modern contraceptives, by their religious leaders to minimize the current high fertility rate of the country.

The regional differentials also show that women in more urbanized regions such as Addis Ababa, Dire Dawa, and Harari are more likely to use modern contraceptives than respondents in more rural regions to be exposed to specific family planning messages. The effect of regional variations for religion, place of residence and radio messages further implies that there exist considerable deference in modern contraceptive use among regions and a model with a random coefficient or slope is more appropriate to explain the regional variation than a model with fixed coefficients or without random effects.

In general, the results of the random slope multilevel logistic regression suggest that there exist significant differences in modern contraceptive use among regions in Ethiopia. As a result, this study suggests that all regions need to have separate estimates of logistic regressions for all eleven geographical regions.

### 8.2. Recommendations

As there is variation and differences in use of Modern contraceptives across regions it is recommended to balance the effect of religious beliefs by teaching religious leaders to teach their followers about family planning and increasing access of family planning messages in radios across regions. It is also recommended that, researchers who want to conduct studies using EDHS data should use multilevel models than traditional regression methods. The following suggestions also help to reduce the variability and low utilization rate of contraceptive use in the country.

1. The study suggests that efforts should be made to motivate women to visit health facilities and consult health practitioners about contraceptives methods.

2. Promoting family planning messages through the radio and increasing awareness of family planning methods have to be given due attention to alleviate the problem of high fertility rate and low use of contraceptives.

3. Improving women access to school and health facilities may also contribute in increasing women access and use of contraceptives.

4. A closer relation between family planning workers and woman may also contribute in alleviating the problem.

Therefore, this study is important in the following ways: First it provides an alternative model that can preferably represent the current data set to model modern contraceptive use among women in Ethiopia. Secondly, it provides information about modern contraceptive uses and variations across regions and finally, it points that further studies should be conducted to incorporate spatial variations in use of modern contraceptives, by utilizing other models such as Spatial Models and Geoadditive models to investigate spatial variations of contraceptives use in the country

## 8.3. Limitation of the study

It is worthwhile to mention some of the constraints that the researcher faced while undertaking this study, the first constraint was while identifying determinants of modern contraceptive considered in this study such as socio-economic, demographic and proximate and health facility variables the all basic factors or determinants reviewed were not able to be included in the model such as (family income, availability of the method near to their home and the cost of modern contraceptives) because of the variables are not included in the current survey as in [22]. The problem of missing values and non response rate for some variables such as discussion about family planning, knowledge about the current method and women or husbands approval of family planning were the main root limitations of this study.

# REFERENCES

- [1] Central Statistical Authority (CSA) and United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), Ethiopia, Summary and Statistical Report f the 2007 Population and Housing Census, Population Census Commission. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- [2] Solomon Alayu, "Capturing the Demographic Dividend (Bonus): Concept and International Experiences" Population and Development Ethiopia. 2008.
- [3] Darroch, J.E., S. Singh, and J. Nadeau, Contraception: an investment in lives, health and development. New York: Guttmacher Institute and UNFPA.2008.
- [4] Moreland, S.et'al. World population prospects and unmet need for family planning: Washington, future group, DC 20005 USA. 2000.
- [5] USAID X. Perspectives on unmet need for family planning in West Africa: Benin. Policy project briefing paper presented at a conference on repositioning family planning in west Africa, February 15–18,2005 Accra, Ghana.2000.
- [6] Westoff, C.F. Unmet need at the end of the century: DHS comparative report no. 1.calverton, MD., USA. 2001.
- [7] Sinding, S.W. Seeking common ground: unmet need and demographic goals, international family planning perspectives, 1994; 20(1): 23–27, 32.1994.
- [8] Casterline, J.B., F. El-Zanatay, and L.O. el-Zeini. Unmet need and unintended fertility: longitudinal evidence from Upper Egypt. International Family Planning Perspectives, Vol. 29(4):158–166.2003
- [9] Assefa Hailemariam, Tekle-Ab Mengistu, Misganaw, F. Factors affecting unmet need for family planning in Southern Nations Nationalities and Peoples Region. Ethiopia: family planning in Ethiopia.2006.
- [10] Assefa Hailemariam and Fikrewold Haddis., Family Planning in Southern Nations, Nationalities and Peoples Region, Ethiopia. Ethiopian Journal of Health Science. Vol. 21(2).2011.
- [11] Mekonnen, Y., Ayalew, T., and Dejene, A. A high risk birth fertility intension and unmet need in Addis Ababa: Ethiopia Journal of Health Development, 12(2):103-109.1998.
- [12] UN. World population monitoring: reproductive rights and reproductive health: New York.1998.
- [13] Central Statistical Agency (CSA) and Orc macro, Reports on 2005 Ethiopian demographic and health survey. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia and Calverton, Mary land USA.2006.
- [14] Ethiopia Ministry of Finance and Economic Development (MoFED) (2008), 'Population and Development in Ethiopia', A Situation Analysis, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.2008.
- [15] UNDP. Human Development Report. New York: Oxford University Press.2002.
- [16] Central Statistical Agency (CSA). Ethiopia demographic and health survey. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.2010.
- [17] Central Statistical Agency (CSA) and Orc macro. Ethiopia demographic and health survey 2000: Addis Ababa and Calverton, Maryland: CSA and Orc macro.2001.

- [18] Abebe Gizaw and Nigatu Regassa. Family planning service utilization in Mojo town, Ethiopia: a population based study. Journal of geography and regional planning: Academic journals. Vol. 4(6), pp. 355-363, 2070-1845.2011.
- [19] Sahle Sita. Assessment of the magnitude and determinants of unmet need for family planning among currently married women in urban and peri-urban community in Awassa southern Ethiopia. Addis Ababa. MSc. Thesis. Addis Ababa University.2003.
- [20] Belete Munea. Factors affecting contraceptive use of married women in Soddo town, SNNPR, Ethiopia. M.Sc. Thesis. Hawassa University.2010.
- [21] Nibret Alene (2010). Determinants of family planning practice in Ethiopia. June 2010. M.Sc. Thesis. Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- [22] EDHS. Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey. Central Statistics Agency, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.2011.
- [23] Snijders, T.A.B. and R.J. Bosker. An introduction to basic and advanced multilevel modeling: Department of statistics, university of Poone, Pune 7.1999.
- [24] Ria, R.I.U., and M.C.D. Peter. Contraceptive use patterns among married women in Indonesia. Paper presented at the international conference on family planning, research and best practices, November 15-18, 2009, Kampala, Uganda.2009.
- [25] Mishra, K. M., and M. Phil. Ethnic Disparities in Contraceptive Use and Its Impact on Family Planning Program in Nepal. Journal of Family and Reproductive Health, Vol. 4(3).2010.
- [26] Khan, M. H. R., and J.E.H. Shaw. Multilevel logistic regression analysis applied to binary contraceptive prevalence data university of Warwick, Journal of Data Science, Vol. 9, 93-110.2011.
- [27] Khan, H.T.A., 'A hierarchical model of contraceptive use in urban and rural Bangladesh': Contraception, 55, 91-96.1997.
- [28] Ricardo, S., G. Charlie, B. Rod, and W. Tsedey. Women's education and modern contraceptive use in Ethiopia: International Journal of Education, ISSN 1948-5476, 2011, vol. 3, No. 1: 9.2011.
- [29] Kamal, N., L. Cidy, and O. Rummana. Determinants of contraceptive use in the urban slums of Bangladesh: a multilevel model.2002.
- [30] Ullah, M.S., and N. Chakraborty. Factors affecting the use of contraception in Bangladesh: A multivariate analysis. Asia-Pacific Population Journal, 8, 19-30.1993.
- [31] Kamal, N., The influence of husbands on contraceptive use by Bangladeshi women: Health policy and planning, Oxford University Press, Vol. 15(1): 43–51.2000.
- [32] Kalam, H. T., and A. Khan., Modeling contraceptive prevalence in Bangladesh: a hierarchical approach, Asian Meta centre research paper series no.5 may 2002 ISBN 981-04-5856-8.2002.
- [33] Goldstein, H., Multilevel Statistical Models, London: Arnold; New York: Oxford University Press Inc.2003.

[34] Nigussie Yohannes, Multilevel Analysis of Determinants of Academic Achievement of Grade Eight Students: A Case Study at Hawassa Town. M.Sc. Thesis. Hawassa University, Ethiopia.2010.